

Solitons on Noncommutative Orbifold T^2/Z_N

Bo-yu Hou ^{*}, Kang-jie Shi [†], Zhan-ying Yang [‡]

Institute of Modern Physics, Northwest University,
Xi'an, 710069, P. R. China

February 1, 2008

Abstract

Following the construction of the projection operators on T^2 presented by Gopakumar, Headrick and Spradlin, we construct a set of projection operators on the integral noncommutative orbifold T^2/G ($G = Z_N, N = 2, 3, 4, 6$) which correspond to a set of solitons on T^2/Z_N in noncommutative field theory. In this way, we derive an explicit form of projector on T^2/Z_6 as an example. We also construct a complete set of projectors on T^2/Z_N by series expansions for integral case.

Keywords: Soliton, Projection operators, Noncommutative orbifold.

1 Introduction

Noncommutative geometry is originally an interesting topic in mathematics[1][2][3]. In the last few years, noncommutative field theories have renewed the physicist's interest primarily due to the discovery that non-commutative gauge theories naturally arise from the low energy dynamics of D-branes in the presence of a background B field and as various limits of M-theory compactification[4, 5, 6]. Quantum field theory on a noncommutative space is useful to understand various physical phenomena, such as string behaviors and D-brane dynamics. They also appear as theories describing the behavior of the electron gas in the presence of a strong, external magnetic field, the quantum Hall effect[7]. Recently Susskind and Hu, Zhang[8] proposed that noncommutative Chern-Simons theory on the plane may provide a description of (fractionally filled) quantum Hall fluid. Being nonlocal, noncommutative field theory may help to understand nonlocality at short distant in quantum gravity.

After the connection between string theory and noncommutative field theories was unraveled, the study of solitons in noncommutative space have attracted much attention[9, 10, 11, 12, 13]. Soliton solutions in field theory and string theory often shed light on the nonperturbative and strong coupling behavior of the theory, thus it is interesting to investigate these solutions in noncommutative fields theories. Gopakumar, Minwalla and Strominger found that soliton solution of noncommutative flat space can be exactly given in terms of projection operators[12]. Harvey et al set up a new method to investigate the soliton solution and M. Hamanaka and S. Terashima generalised this "Solution Generating

^{*}Email:byhou@phy.nwu.edu.cn

[†]Email:kjshi@phy.nwu.edu.cn

[‡]Email:zyz@phy.nwu.edu.cn

Technique" to BPS monopole in 3+1 dimension[13]. Martinec and Moore discussed how D-branes on orbifolds fit naturally into the algebraic framework as described by projection operators[10]. Thus the study of projection operators in various noncommutative spaces are important in string field theory. Rieffel has presented a general formula for the projection operators on noncommutative torus[14]. Boca further described the projection operators on orbifold T^2/G and discussed the relation between their trace and the commutator q of the operators U and V on noncommutative torus($UV = VUe^{2i\pi q}$). He proved the existence of nontrivial projection operators and explicitly presented an example with trace $1/q$ for q an integer in Z_4 case [15]. Boca expressed the projection operators in terms of the θ function depend respectively on U and V . Konechny, Schwartz and Walters [16, 17] have also given some Z_2, Z_4 invariant projection operators. Martinec and Moore pointed out that no explicit expressions for the projection operators in Z_3, Z_6 case have been found. Gopakumar et al [9] succeeded in constructing the projection operator on noncommutative integral torus with generic τ . Here the integer A measures the quantum of magnetic flux passing through the torus, its ratio with the area serves as the noncommutative parameter. We find that if the vacuum state $|0\rangle$ in their paper is replaced by any state vector $|\phi\rangle$, their construction still works. We notice that if the state vector $|\phi\rangle$ has some symmetries, the operators are just the projection operators on orbifold T^2/G ($G = Z_N$ is a symmetry group). We then give a set of projection operators in T^2/Z_N . As an example, an explicit projection operator in T^2/Z_6 is obtained by this approach.

This paper is organized as following. We introduce the noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N in section 2 and in next section we review the construction proposed by Gopakumar et al on the integral torus T^2 . We show how this approach can be used to construct the projection operators which is invariant under the transformation group Z_N in section 4. In section 5, we presented an explicit form of projector on T^2/Z_6 as an example, using theta functions with $\hat{y}_1$ and $\hat{y}_2$ as variables. In section 6, we provided a complete set of projectors on T^2/Z_N by series expansions for integral case.

2 Noncommutative Orbifold T^2/Z_N

In this section, we introduce operators on the noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N . First we introduce two operators $\hat{y}_1$ and $\hat{y}_2$ on noncommutative R^2 which satisfy the following commutation relation:

$$[\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2] = i. \quad (1)$$

Define operators

$$U_1 = e^{-il\hat{y}_2}, \quad U_2 = e^{il(\tau_2\hat{y}_1 - \tau_1\hat{y}_2)}, \quad (2)$$

where l, τ_1, τ_2 are all real numbers and $l, \tau_2 > 0$. All operators on R^2 which commute with U_1 and U_2 constitute the operators defined on noncommutative torus T^2 . This torus is formed as manifold which identify two points $(\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2) \sim (\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2) + \mathbf{r}$ with $\mathbf{r} = m\mathbf{l}_1 + n\mathbf{l}_2$ on noncommutative plane R^2 , where $\mathbf{l}_1 = (l, 0), \mathbf{l}_2 = (l\tau_1, l\tau_2)$. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1^{-1}\hat{y}_1U_1 &= \hat{y}_1 + l, & U_2^{-1}\hat{y}_1U_2 &= \hat{y}_1 + l\tau_1, \\ U_1^{-1}\hat{y}_2U_1 &= \hat{y}_2, & U_2^{-1}\hat{y}_2U_2 &= \hat{y}_2 + l\tau_2. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

The operators U_1 and U_2 are two different wrapping operators around the noncommutative torus and their commutation relation is $U_1U_2 = U_2U_1e^{-2\pi i\frac{l^2\tau_2}{2\pi}}$. When $A = \frac{l^2\tau_2}{2\pi}$ is an integer, we call the torus integral. Next we introduce a linear transformation R , which gives

$$R^{-1}\hat{y}_1R = a\hat{y}_1 + b\hat{y}_2, \quad R^{-1}\hat{y}_2R = c\hat{y}_1 + d\hat{y}_2. \quad (4)$$

Setting $U_1 = U, U_2 = V$, Under R , if U and V change as $[10]^1$

$$\begin{aligned} Z_2: \quad & U \rightarrow U^{-1}, \quad V \rightarrow V^{-1}, \\ Z_3: \quad & U \rightarrow V, \quad V \rightarrow U^{-1}V^{-1}, \\ Z_4: \quad & U \rightarrow V, \quad V \rightarrow U^{-1}, \\ Z_6: \quad & U \rightarrow V, \quad V \rightarrow U^{-1}V, \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

then we refer R as a Z_N symmetry rotation of the torus T^2 . The operators on noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N are the operators of T^2 which are invariant under transformation R .

If we define operators $\hat{y}'_1$ and $\hat{y}'_2$ as

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{y}_1 &= a\hat{y}'_1 + b\hat{y}'_2, \quad \hat{y}_2 = \frac{1}{a}\hat{y}'_2, \\ a &= \sqrt{\frac{\tau'_2}{\tau_2}}, \quad b = \frac{-\tau'_1 + \tau_1}{\sqrt{\tau_2\tau'_2}}, \quad l' = \frac{l}{a}, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [\hat{y}'_1, \hat{y}'_2] &= i, \quad U_1 = e^{-il'\hat{y}'_2}, \\ U_2 &= e^{il'(\tau'_2\hat{y}'_1 - \tau'_1\hat{y}'_2)}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

From the above result, we notice that the noncommutative torus is invariant after taking a suitable module parameter $\tau = \tau_1 + i\tau_2$. Now we consider the rotations in symmetric orbifolds T^2/Z_N ($Z_N = Z_2, Z_3, Z_4, Z_6$). Let $\tau = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{N}} = e^{i\theta}$, then the transformation

$$R^{-1}\hat{y}_1R = \cos\theta\hat{y}_1 + \sin\theta\hat{y}_2, \quad R^{-1}\hat{y}_2R = \cos\theta\hat{y}_2 - \sin\theta\hat{y}_1. \quad (8)$$

will give corresponding transformation of the operators U_1 and U_2 as in equation (5). Such R can be realized by

$$R = e^{-i\theta\frac{\hat{y}_1^2 + \hat{y}_2^2}{2} + i\frac{\theta}{2}}. \quad (9)$$

Next we take Z_6 and Z_4 as examples.

(1) $\theta = \frac{\pi}{3}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= \frac{1}{2}, \quad \tau_2 = \frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}, \quad N = 6, \\ U_1 &= e^{-il\hat{y}_2}, \quad U_2 = e^{il(\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2}\hat{y}_1 - \frac{1}{2}\hat{y}_2)}, \\ R^{-1}U_1R &= U_2, \quad R^{-1}U_2R = e^{-\pi iA}U_1^{-1}U_2. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

From the above result, we find that the lattice remain invariant under rotation.

(2) $\theta = \frac{\pi}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} \tau_1 &= 0, \quad \tau_2 = 1, \quad N = 4, \\ U_1 &= e^{-il\hat{y}_2}, \quad U_2 = e^{il\hat{y}_1}, \\ R^{-1}U_1R &= U_2, \quad R^{-1}U_2R = U_1^{-1}. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

¹These relations may include some phase factors. It will not change the derivation in the text, see equation (10).

This shows that the whole lattice remain invariant. We can realize the operators $\hat{y}_1$ and $\hat{y}_2$ as the operators in Fock space. Introducing

$$a = \frac{\hat{y}_2 - i\hat{y}_1}{\sqrt{2}}, \quad a^+ = \frac{\hat{y}_2 + i\hat{y}_1}{\sqrt{2}} \quad (12)$$

and we have $[a, a^+] = 1$. The rotation R can be expressed by a, a^+ via

$$R = e^{-i\theta a^+ a}. \quad (13)$$

3 Review GHS Construction for Soliton

In this section, we review the results in paper [9]. A noncommutative space R^2 has been orbifolded to a torus T^2 with double periods l and τl . The generators are

$$U_1 = e^{-il\hat{y}_2}, \quad U_2 = e^{il(\tau_2\hat{y}_1 - \tau_1\hat{y}_2)} \quad (14)$$

where $[\hat{y}_1, \hat{y}_2] = i$, here we just consider the case when $A = \frac{\tau_2 l^2}{2\pi}$ is an integer. Introduce a state vector

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j_1, j_2} C_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |\Omega\rangle \quad (j_1, j_2 \in \mathbb{Z}) \quad (15)$$

that satisfies

$$\langle \psi | U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} | \psi \rangle = \delta_{j_1, 0} \delta_{j_2, 0}. \quad (16)$$

The state $|\Omega\rangle$ will be specified later. Then a projection operator on T^2 can be constructed as

$$P = \sum_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi | U_2^{-j_2} U_1^{-j_1}. \quad (17)$$

The power series of $\hat{y}_1$ and $\hat{y}_2$ can be made up of the power series of a and a^+ . Moreover the formula $|0\rangle\langle 0| = e^{-a^+ a}$ indicates that any $|\psi\rangle\langle \psi|$ can be constituted by the power series of a and a^+ . The projection operator is therefore spanned by the operators $\hat{y}_1$ and $\hat{y}_2$. It is easy to check $P^2 = P$ and $U_i^{-1} P U_i = P$. So P is an projection operator on noncommutative T^2 . The kq representation[18][19] provides a basis of common eigenstate of U_1 and U_2 :

$$|k, q\rangle = \sqrt{\frac{l}{2\pi}} e^{-i\tau_1 \hat{y}_2^2 / 2\tau_2} \sum_j e^{ijk l} |q + jl\rangle \quad (18)$$

where the ket on the right is a $\hat{y}_1$ eigenstate. We have

$$\begin{aligned} U_1 |k, q\rangle &= e^{-ilk} |k, q\rangle, & U_2 |k, q\rangle &= e^{il\tau_2 q} |k, q\rangle, \\ id &= \int_a^{\frac{2\pi}{l} + a} dk \int_b^{l+b} dq |k, q\rangle \langle k, q| \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

where a and b are real numbers and $|k, q\rangle = |k + \frac{2\pi}{l}, q\rangle = e^{ilk} |k, q + l\rangle$. In terms of wave functions in the kq representation, $|\psi\rangle$ becomes

$$C_\psi(k, q) \equiv \langle k, q | \psi \rangle = \sum_{j_1, j_2} C_{j_1, j_2} e^{-ij_1 l k + ij_2 l \tau_2 q} \langle k, q | \Omega \rangle = \tilde{c}(k, q) C_0(k, q) \quad (20)$$

where $\tilde{c}(k, q) = \sum_{j_1, j_2} C_{j_1, j_2} e^{-ij_1 lk + ij_2 l \tau_2 q}$, $C_0(k, q) = \langle k, q | \Omega \rangle$. Note that $\tilde{c}(k, q)$ is doubly periodic:

$$\tilde{c}(k + \frac{2\pi}{l}, q) = \tilde{c}(k, q + \frac{l}{A}) = \tilde{c}(k, q).$$

The orthonormality condition (16) becomes

$$\delta_{j_1, 0} \delta_{j_2, 0} = \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^l dq e^{-ij_1 lk + ij_2 l \tau_2 q} |\tilde{c}(k, q)|^2 |C_0(k, q)|^2. \quad (21)$$

The coefficient $C_{j_1 j_2}$ can be obtained if and only if $\tilde{c}(k, q)$ is double periodic function with periods $2\pi/l$ and l/A for k and q respectively. So we rewrite the above equation as

$$\delta_{j_1 0} \delta_{j_2 0} = \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^{l/A} dq e^{-ij_1 lk + ij_2 l \tau_2 q} |\tilde{c}(k, q)|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_0(k, q + \frac{ln}{A})|^2. \quad (22)$$

This hold for any j_1 and j_2 if and only if $|\tilde{c}(k, q)|^2 \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_0(k, q + \frac{ln}{A})|^2 = \frac{A}{2\pi}$. Then we have

$$|\tilde{c}(k, q)| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_0(k, q + \frac{ln}{A})|^2}}. \quad (23)$$

Setting $e^{i\beta}$ as phase factor of $\tilde{c}(k, q)$, we have

$$C_\psi(k, q) = \frac{C_0(k, q) e^{i\beta}}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_0(k, q + \frac{ln}{A})|^2}}. \quad (24)$$

4 The Projection Operator on T^2/Z_N

In the last section, we reviewed how to construct the projection operators on noncommutative torus. In this section, we will discuss how to construct the projection operator on the noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N following the result of the last section. Recall the projection operator

$$P = \sum_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| U_2^{-j_2} U_1^{-j_1} \quad (25)$$

and transform it by rotation R

$$R^{-1} P R = \sum_{j_1, j_2} (U'_1)^{j_1} (U'_2)^{j_2} R^{-1} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| R (U'_2)^{-j_2} (U'_1)^{-j_1} \quad (26)$$

where $U'_i = R^{-1} U_i R$. Considering the transformation group $G = Z_N$, we get

$$R^{-1} P R = \sum_{j'_1, j'_2} U_1^{j'_1} U_2^{j'_2} R^{-1} |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| R U_2^{-j'_2} U_1^{-j'_1} \quad (27)$$

where $j'_1 = -j_1, j'_2 = -j_2$ for Z_2 case, $j'_1 = -j_2, j'_2 = j_1 - j_2$ for Z_3 case, $j'_1 = -j_2, j'_2 = j_1$ for Z_4 case and $j'_1 = -j_2, j'_2 = j_1 + j_2$ for Z_6 case. Then we can obtain $R^{-1} P R = P$ as long as

$$R |\psi\rangle = e^{i\alpha} |\psi\rangle. \quad (28)$$

We can show that this can be satisfied if $R|\Omega\rangle = e^{i\alpha}|\Omega\rangle$. In the next step, we take $G = Z_6$ as an example to prove this (it is easy to generalize this to other cases). Assume

$$|\psi\rangle = \sum_{j_1, j_2} C_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |\Omega\rangle \quad (j_1, j_2 \in Z) \quad (29)$$

satisfies

$$\langle \psi | U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} | \psi \rangle = \delta_{j_1, 0} \delta_{j_2, 0}. \quad (30)$$

Setting $R|\Omega\rangle = e^{i\alpha}|\Omega\rangle$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} R|\psi\rangle &= \sum_{j_1, j_2} C_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{-j_2} U_2^{j_1+j_2} R|\Omega\rangle \\ &= \sum_{j_1, j_2} C'_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} |\Omega\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (31)$$

where

$$C'_{j_1, j_2} = C_{j_1+j_2, -j_1} e^{i\alpha} \quad (32)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \psi | R^{-1} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} R | \psi \rangle &= \langle \psi | U_2^{j_1} (U_1^{-j_2} U_2^{j_2}) | \psi \rangle \\ &= \delta_{j_1+j_2, 0} \delta_{-j_2, 0} = \delta_{j_1, 0} \delta_{j_2, 0}. \end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

Adding a condition $C_{j_1, j_2}^* = C_{-j_1, -j_2} e^{-2i\beta}$, we have

$$\tilde{c}^*(k, q) = \tilde{c}(k, q) e^{-2i\beta}. \quad (34)$$

The unique solution satisfying the above equations is

$$\tilde{c}(k, q) = \frac{e^{i\beta}}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_0(k, q + \frac{ln}{A})|^2}}. \quad (35)$$

In brief, The C_{j_1, j_2} which satisfies the condition (29, 30, 34) is uniquely determined as demonstrated in section 3. On the other hand, from equation (32) we find

$$(C'_{j_1, j_2})^* = C'_{-j_1, -j_2} e^{-2i(\alpha+\beta)}, \quad (36)$$

giving

$$\tilde{c}^*(k, q) = \tilde{c}'(k, q) e^{-2i(\alpha+\beta)}. \quad (37)$$

Equations (31)(33) and (37) also uniquely determine $\tilde{c}'(k, q)$ as

$$\tilde{c}'(k, q) = \frac{e^{i(\alpha+\beta)}}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_0(k, q + \frac{ln}{A})|^2}} = \tilde{c}(k, q) e^{i\alpha} \quad (38)$$

for $R|\psi\rangle$. We then have $|\psi'\rangle = R|\psi\rangle = e^{i\alpha}|\psi\rangle$. In conclusion, if the vector $|\Omega\rangle$ satisfies $R|\Omega\rangle = e^{i\alpha}|\Omega\rangle$, the state $|\psi\rangle$ will satisfy equation (28) and the projection operator by GHS construction will be a projection operator on noncommutative orbifold T^2/G .

In the above discussion, we know that the crucial point is that the state vector $|\Omega\rangle$ must be invariant under the rotation R , namely $R|\Omega\rangle = e^{i\alpha}|\Omega\rangle$. Now we show how to construct such state vector. The operator for rotation is

$$R = e^{-i\theta a^+ a}. \quad (39)$$

We can set

$$|\Omega\rangle = \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R^j e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}js} |\phi\rangle \quad (40)$$

where $|\phi\rangle$ is an arbitrary state vector, $R = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{N}a^+a}$, $s = 0, \dots, N-1$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} R^N |\phi\rangle &= e^{-2\pi i a^+a} \left(\sum_n c_n (a^+)^n \right) |0\rangle \\ &= \sum_n e^{-2\pi i n} c_n (a^+)^n |0\rangle \\ &= |\phi\rangle, \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

thus

$$\begin{aligned} R|\Omega\rangle &= \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R^{j+1} e^{i\frac{2\pi}{N}js} |\phi\rangle \\ &= e^{-is\frac{2\pi}{N}} |\Omega\rangle \\ &= e^{i\alpha} |\Omega\rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (42)$$

If we obtain the expression for $C_0(k, q)$, it is easy to write the expression for the field configuration for the projection operator by equation (24) [9] or get the Fourier expansion by equations (55)(56). In next step, we take an example to show how to construct $C_0(k, q) = \langle k, q | \Omega \rangle$. Introduce coherent states

$$|z\rangle = e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z}} e^{a^+z} |0\rangle \quad (43)$$

where $z = x + iy$, $\bar{z} = x - iy$, which satisfies

$$\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy |z\rangle \langle z| = \text{identity}. \quad (44)$$

Thus from equation(39), we get

$$\begin{aligned} R|z\rangle &= e^{-\frac{1}{2}z\bar{z}} e^{a^+\omega z} |0\rangle \\ &= |\omega z\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (45)$$

where $\omega = e^{-i\frac{2\pi}{N}}$, we can employ $\langle y_2 | 0 \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/4}} e^{-y_2^2/2}$, $|y_2\rangle$ is the eigenstate of the operator $\hat{y}_2$, to obtain

$$\langle y_2 | z \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi^{1/4}} e^{-z^2/2 - z\bar{z}/2} e^{-y_2^2/2 + \sqrt{2}zy_2}. \quad (46)$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle k, q | z \rangle &= \int \langle k, q | y_2 \rangle \langle y_2 | z \rangle dy_2 \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{l}\pi^{1/4}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{q + \frac{\tau}{\tau_2}k - i\sqrt{2}z}{l}, \frac{\tau}{A} \right) e^{-\frac{\tau}{2i\tau_2}k^2 + ikq + \sqrt{2}kz - (z^2 + z\bar{z})/2}. \end{aligned} \quad (47)$$

Letting

$$|\phi\rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy |z\rangle \langle z| \phi\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx dy F(z) |z\rangle, \quad (48)$$

we can get

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0(k, q) &= \langle k, q | \Omega \rangle = \frac{1}{\pi} \int \langle k, q | \sum_{j=0}^{N-1} R^j e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} j s} | z \rangle \langle z | \phi \rangle dx dy \\
&= \int \left[\sum_{j=0}^{N-1} e^{i \frac{2\pi}{N} j s} \langle k, q | \omega^j z \rangle \right] F(z) dx dy
\end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

where $F(z)$ is an arbitrary function. After obtaining $C_0(k, q)$, we can then compute $\langle k, q | \psi \rangle$ by equation (24) and the Fourier coefficient for the projection operator P on noncommutative orbifold T^2/Z_N by equation (56).

5 An Example for T^2/Z_6 case

In this section, we give an example for T^2/Z_6 case. We first review the Weyl-Moyal transformation on torus and then present the explicit expression for the projection operator by the Fourier series of the operator $\hat{y}_1$ and $\hat{y}_2$. Define

$$A(\hat{p}) = \sum_{j_1, j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} b(\hat{p}) U_2^{-j_2} U_1^{-j_1} (j_1, j_2 \in Z) \tag{50}$$

where $U_1 = e^{is_2 \hat{p}_1}$, $U_2 = e^{is_1 \hat{p}_2}$ and $\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2$ are linear combinations of $\hat{y}_1$ and $\hat{y}_2$, $[\hat{p}_1, \hat{p}_2] = i$. It is easy to see that $U_i^{-1} A(\hat{p}) U_i = A(\hat{p})$, namely $A(\hat{p})$ is an operator on noncommutative torus T^2 . The field configuration for $A(\hat{p})$ is

$$\Phi_A(p) = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{s_1 s_2} \sum_{mn} \text{tr} \{ e^{2\pi i [(\hat{p}_1 - p_1) \frac{m}{s_1} + (\hat{p}_2 - p_2) \frac{n}{s_2}]} b(\hat{p}) \}. \tag{51}$$

We can also reobtain $A(\hat{p})$ by the Weyl-Moyal transformation from $\Phi_A(p)$,

$$A(\hat{p}) = \sum_{mn} \frac{1}{2\pi s_1 s_2} \int_0^{s_1} dp_1 \int_0^{s_2} dp_2 \Phi_A(p) e^{2\pi i [(\hat{p}_1 - p_1) \frac{m}{s_1} + (\hat{p}_2 - p_2) \frac{n}{s_2}]}. \tag{52}$$

We now set $\hat{p}_1 = -\hat{y}_2$, $\hat{p}_2 = \hat{y}_1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \hat{y}_2$, $s_1 = l\tau_2$, $s_2 = l$, $b(\hat{p}) = |\psi\rangle\langle\psi|$, and have

$$U_1 = e^{-il\hat{y}_2}, \quad U_2 = e^{il(\tau_2 \hat{y}_1 - \tau_1 \hat{y}_2)}. \tag{53}$$

Then the operator $A(\hat{p})$ becomes the projection operator P . The field configuration for the projection operator P is

$$\Phi_p(y) = \frac{(2\pi)^2}{l^2 \tau_2} \sum_{j_1 j_2} \langle \psi | e^{\frac{2\pi i}{l} (j_1 (\hat{y}_1 - y_1) + \frac{j_2 - \tau_1 j_1}{\tau_2} (\hat{y}_2 - y_2))} | \psi \rangle. \tag{54}$$

The Fourier expansion for the projection operator is obtained by Weyl-Moyal transformation (52),

$$P = \sum_{j_1 j_2} D_{j_1 j_2} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{l} (j_1 \hat{y}_1 + \frac{j_2 - \tau_1 j_1}{\tau_2} \hat{y}_2)}, \tag{55}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{j_1 j_2} &= \frac{1}{A} \langle \psi | e^{\frac{2\pi i}{l} (j_1 \hat{y}_1 + \frac{j_2 - \tau_1 j_1}{\tau_2} \hat{y}_2)} | \psi \rangle \\
&= \frac{1}{A} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^l dq \langle k, q | \psi \rangle \langle \psi | k, q - \frac{ls}{A} \rangle e^{2\pi i j_1 (q/l - s/A)} e^{imlk} e^{\pi i j_1 j_2 / A}
\end{aligned} \tag{56}$$

where $j_2 = mA + s, s = 0, \dots, A - 1$.

Rewrite the above equations as

$$\begin{aligned}
P &= \sum_{j_1 j_2} D_{j_1 j_2} e^{-2\pi i j_2 \frac{y_2}{l\tau_2}} e^{-2\pi i j_1 \frac{y_1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} y_2}{l}} e^{-\pi i j_1 j_2 / A} \\
&= \sum_s (e^{-2\pi i \frac{y_2}{l\tau_2}})^s \sum_{j_1 m} (e^{-2\pi i A \frac{y_2}{l\tau_2}})^m (e^{-2\pi i \frac{y_1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} y_2}{l}})^{j_1} D_{j_1 m s} \\
&= \sum_s (u_1)^s \sum_{j_1 m} D_{j_1 m s} (u_1^A)^m (u_2)^{j_1}
\end{aligned} \tag{57}$$

where $u_1 = e^{-2\pi i \frac{y_2}{l\tau_2}}, u_2 = e^{-2\pi i \frac{y_1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} y_2}{l}}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
D_{j_1 m s} &= D_{j_1 j_2} e^{-\pi i j_1 j_2 / A} |_{j_2 = mA + s} \\
&= \frac{1}{A} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^l dq' < k, q' + \frac{ls}{A} | \psi > < \psi | k, q' > e^{2\pi i j_1 (\frac{q'}{l})} e^{2\pi i m (\frac{lk}{2\pi})}
\end{aligned} \tag{58}$$

where $q' = q - \frac{ls}{A}$. This is a calculation for the Fourier coefficient of periodic (in k and q') function $< k, q' + \frac{s}{A} | \psi > < \psi | k, q' > \equiv f_s$, that is

$$f_s = \frac{A}{2\pi} \sum_{j_1 m} D_{j_1 m s} e^{-2\pi i j_1 (\frac{q'}{l})} e^{-2\pi i m (\frac{lk}{2\pi})}. \tag{59}$$

Notice that in equation (57), u_1^A and u_2 commute with each other. Thus when we obtain an explicit expression of $f_s(K, Q)$ in terms of $Q = e^{-2\pi i (\frac{q'}{l})}$ and $K = e^{-2\pi i (\frac{lk}{2\pi})}$ for real $\frac{q'}{l}$ and $\frac{lk}{2\pi}$, we can immediately write P as

$$P = \frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_s u_1^s f_s(u_1^A, u_2). \tag{60}$$

We calculate a projector P as an example in the following. Let $|\Omega > = |0 >$, which is obviously R invariant. We have

$$< kq | \psi > = C_\psi(k, q) = \frac{C_0(k, q)}{\sqrt{\frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} |C_0(k, q + \frac{ln}{A})|^2}} \tag{61}$$

with $C_0(k, q) = < k, q | 0 >$ (ref. [9]). Then the corresponding f_s is

$$f_s = \frac{C_0(k, q + \frac{ls}{A}) C_0^*(k, q)}{\frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} C_0(k, q + \frac{ln}{A}) C_0^*(k, q + \frac{ln}{A})} \tag{62}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
C_0(k, q) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{l\pi^{1/4}}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{q}{l} + \frac{\tau k}{l\tau_2}, \frac{\tau}{A} \right) e^{-\frac{\tau}{2l\tau_2} k^2 + ikq} \\
&= \sqrt{\frac{Ai}{l\tau\sqrt{\pi}}} \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \left(\frac{lk}{2\pi} + \frac{Aq}{l\tau}, -\frac{A}{\tau} \right) e^{-\pi i \frac{Aq^2}{\tau l^2}}.
\end{aligned} \tag{63}$$

Thus we have for real $\frac{q}{l} = u$ and $\frac{lk}{2\pi} = v$,

$$\begin{aligned} g(u, v)_{ss'} &= C_0(k, q + \frac{ls}{A})C_0^*(k, q + \frac{ls'}{A}) \\ &= \frac{1}{l\sqrt{\pi}}\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (u + \frac{s}{A} + \frac{\tau v}{A}, \frac{\tau}{A})\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (u + \frac{s'}{A} + \frac{\tau^* v}{A}, \frac{-\tau^*}{A}) \\ &\quad e^{\pi i \frac{\tau - \tau^*}{A} v^2 + 2\pi i \frac{s - s'}{A} v}, \end{aligned} \quad (64)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \frac{A}{l|\tau|\sqrt{\pi}}\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (v + \frac{A}{\tau}(u + \frac{s}{A}), -\frac{A}{\tau})\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (v + \frac{A}{\tau^*}(u + \frac{s'}{A}), \frac{A}{\tau^*}) \\ &\quad e^{-\pi i \frac{A}{\tau}(u + \frac{s}{A})^2 + \pi i \frac{A}{\tau^*}(u + \frac{s'}{A})^2} \end{aligned} \quad (65)$$

due to

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (z, \tau)^* = \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (z^*, -\tau^*). \quad (66)$$

In the T^2/Z_6 case, $\tau = e^{\frac{i\pi}{3}}$, so we have

$$g(u+1, v) = g(u, v+1) = g(u, v), \quad (67)$$

$$g(u+\tau, v) = e^{-2\pi i A(2u + \frac{v}{A} + x)}g(u, v), \quad (68)$$

$$g(u, v+A\tau) = e^{-2\pi i(2v + Au + y)}g(u, v) \quad (69)$$

where $x = \tau - \frac{1}{2} + \frac{s+s'}{A}$ and $y = A\tau - \frac{A}{2} + \frac{s}{\tau} + \frac{s'}{\tau^*}$. From the above equation, one can prove

$$\begin{aligned} g(u, v) &= \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^2 \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (Au + v - v_i + \frac{1}{2}, \tau A) \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (v - v_i + \frac{A\tau}{2}, \tau A) \right. \\ &\quad \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (u + \frac{s}{A} + \frac{\tau}{A}v_i, \frac{\tau}{A}) \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (u + \frac{s'}{A} + \frac{\tau^*}{A}v_i, \frac{-\tau^*}{A}) \\ &\quad \left. X(v_i) \right\} / [\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (Au + \frac{1}{2}, \tau A) \theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (\frac{\tau A}{2}, \tau A)] \equiv \{G_{ss'}(u, v)\} / [r(u)] \end{aligned} \quad (70)$$

where

$$v_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{A}{2} + \frac{A\tau}{2} + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{As}{\tau} - \frac{As'}{\tau^*}), \quad (71)$$

$$v_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\frac{A}{2} + \frac{A\tau}{2} - \frac{1}{2} - \frac{As}{\tau} - \frac{As'}{\tau^*}), \quad (72)$$

$$X(v_i) = \frac{1}{l\sqrt{\pi}} e^{-\frac{2\pi\tau_2}{A}v^2 + 2\pi i v(\frac{s}{A} - \frac{s'}{A})}. \quad (73)$$

Thus

$$f_s = \frac{G_{s0}(u, v)}{\frac{2\pi}{A} \sum_{n=0}^{A-1} G_{nn}(u, v)}. \quad (74)$$

The proof is as follows. Because of equations (67) and (69), the entire function g of v belongs to a two dimensional function space of v . Properly choose two functions as a base. Then fix the coefficients (they are functions of u) at two special values of v (v_1 and v_2), we finally obtain equation (70). Let

$$\theta \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} (z, \tau) \equiv \Theta(Z, \tau) = \sum_m e^{\pi i m^2 \tau} Z^m, \quad Z = e^{-2\pi i z}. \quad (75)$$

Then

$$G_{ss'}(u, v) = \sum_{i=1}^2 \Theta(KQ^A e^{2\pi i(v_i - \frac{1}{2})}, A\tau) \Theta(Ke^{2\pi i(v_i - \frac{A\tau}{2})}, A\tau) \Theta(Qe^{-2\pi i(\frac{\tau}{A}v_i + \frac{s}{A})}, \frac{\tau}{A}) \\ \Theta(Qe^{-2\pi i(\frac{\tau^*}{A}v_i + \frac{s'}{A})}, -\frac{\tau^*}{A}) X(v_i) \equiv \Phi_{ss'}(K, Q), \quad (76)$$

giving

$$P = \sum_{s=0}^{A-1} u_1^s \frac{\Phi_{s0}(u_1^A, u_2)}{\sum_{n=0}^{A-1} \Phi_{nn}(u_1^A, u_2)}. \quad (77)$$

This is an explicit T^2/Z_6 projector.

6 Complete set of projections

We assume that all operators in T^2 can be expressed as

$$\hat{B} = \sum_{j_1 j_2} U_1^{j_1} U_2^{j_2} \hat{b} U_2^{-j_2} U_1^{-j_1} \quad (78)$$

for some operators $\hat{b}$ in noncommutative space R^2 . Reorganize the complete set $|k, q\rangle$ as $\{|k, q\rangle\} = \{|k, q_0, s\rangle\}$, $q = q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s$, where $k \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{l})$, $q_0 \in [0, \frac{l}{A})$, $s = 0, \dots, A-1$. Equation (19) becomes

$$id = \sum_{s=0}^{A-1} \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} dk \int_0^{\frac{l}{A}} dq_0 |k, q_0, s\rangle \langle k, q_0, s|. \quad (79)$$

Combining the above equation and

$$\sum_j e^{ijx} = \sum_m 2\pi \delta(x + 2\pi m). \quad (80)$$

We can get

$$\langle k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{B} | k', q'_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' \rangle = \frac{(2\pi)}{A} \delta(k' - k) \delta(q'_0 - q_0) \langle k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{b} | k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' \rangle. \quad (81)$$

So we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{A} \hat{B} | k', q'_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' \rangle \\ &= \langle k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{A} (id) \hat{B} | k', q'_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' \rangle \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} \int_0^{\frac{l}{A}} \sum_{n''} \langle k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{a} | k'', q''_0 + \frac{l}{A}n'' \rangle \langle k'', q''_0 + \frac{l}{A}n'' | \hat{b} | k', q'_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' \rangle dk'' dq''_0 \\ &= \int_0^{\frac{2\pi}{l}} \int_0^{\frac{l}{A}} \frac{(2\pi)^2}{A^2} \sum_{n''} \delta(k'' - k) \delta(k' - k'') \delta(q'_0 - q''_0) \delta(q''_0 - q_0) \\ & \quad \langle k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{a} | k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n'' \rangle \langle k'', q''_0 + \frac{l}{A}n'' | \hat{b} | k'', q''_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' \rangle dk'' dq''_0 \\ &= \frac{(2\pi)^2}{A^2} \sum_{n''} \delta(k' - k) \delta(q'_0 - q_0) \langle k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{a} | k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n'' \rangle \langle k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n'' | \hat{b} | k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' \rangle. \end{aligned} \quad (82)$$

From equation (81) and (82), one concludes that the necessary and sufficient condition of a projection operator in T^2 is

$$\sum_{n''} M_b(k, q_0)_{nn''} M_b(k, q_0)_{n''n'} = M_b(k, q_0)_{nn'} \quad (83)$$

at each point (k, q_0) , where $M_b(k, q_0)_{n'n''} \equiv \frac{2\pi}{A} < k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' | \hat{b} | k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n'' >$, The matrix $M(k, q_0)$ satisfying the above equation is always diagonalizable. Thus

$$M_b(k, q_0) = S(k, q_0)^{-1} \bar{M}_b(k, q_0) S(k, q_0) \quad (84)$$

gives the complete set of projections of the form (78) in T^2 , where S is an arbitrary invertible matrix and $\bar{M}$ is a diagonalized matrix with entry 0 and 1, that is to say

$$\bar{M}_b(k, q_0)_{nn'} = \delta_{nn'} \epsilon_n(k, q_0), \quad (85)$$

$$\epsilon_n(k, q_0) = \{0, 1\}. \quad (86)$$

We next study the conditions for P being invariant under rotation R ,

$$R^{-1} P R = P. \quad (87)$$

First notice that from equation (5), the common eigenvectors $|k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s >$ of U_1 and U_2 are still eigenvectors of

$$U'_1 = R^{-1} U_1 R, \quad U'_2 = R^{-1} U_2 R. \quad (88)$$

We have

$$U'_1 |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s > = \lambda_1 |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s >, \quad (89)$$

$$U'_2 |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s > = \lambda_2 |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s >, \quad (90)$$

giving

$$U_1 R |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s > = \lambda_1 R |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s >, \quad (91)$$

$$U_2 R |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s > = \lambda_2 R |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s >. \quad (92)$$

Thus $R |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s >$ is still a common eigenvector of U_1 and U_2 . Since the eigenvalue of U_2 is A fold degenerate, we conclude from equation (19)

$$R |k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}s > = \sum_{n'} A(k, q_0)_{n'}^{n'} |k', q'_0 + \frac{l}{A}s > \quad (93)$$

for a definite (k', q'_0) . One can derive explicit relations for (k', q'_0) and (k, q_0) for all Z_N cases, which is essentially linear relations $W : (k, q_0) \rightarrow (k', q'_0)$ and $(W)^N = id$. Since R is unitary, the matrix $A(k, q_0)$ is also unitary, namely

$$A^*(k, q_0)_{nn'} = A^{-1}(k, q_0)_{n'n}. \quad (94)$$

One can show that the map W is an area preserving map, thus

$$\delta(k_1 - k_2) \delta(q_{01} - q_{02}) = \delta(k'_1 - k'_2) \delta(q'_{01} - q'_{02}). \quad (95)$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& < k_1, q_{01} + \frac{l}{A}n_1 | R^{-1}PR | k_2, q_{02} + \frac{l}{A}n_2 > \\
& = \delta(k'_1 - k'_2)\delta(q'_{01} - q'_{02}) < k'_1, q'_{01} + \frac{l}{A}n'_1 | \hat{b} | k'_2, q'_{02} + \frac{l}{A}n'_2 > A^*(k_1, q_{01})_{n_1 n'_1} A(k_2, q_{02})_{n_2 n'_2} \\
& = \delta(k_1 - k_2)\delta(q_{01} - q_{02}) < k_1, q_{01} + \frac{l}{A}n_1 | \hat{b} | k_2, q_{02} + \frac{l}{A}n_2 > .
\end{aligned} \tag{96}$$

At last, we obtain

$$M(kq_0)_{n_1 n_2} = \sum_{n'_1 n'_2} A^*(k, q_0)_{n_1 n'_1} M(k'q'_0)_{n'_1 n'_2} A(k, q_0)_{n_2 n'_2}, \tag{97}$$

$$M(k'q'_0) = A^t(k, q_0)M(kq_0)A^*(k, q_0). \tag{98}$$

That is, from the matrix M of a giving point (k, q_0) , we can get a definite M for the point $(k', q'_0) = W(k, q_0)$, if the corresponding operator is R rotation invariant. From the explicit expression of W , we can show that one can always divide the area $\sigma : (k \in [0, \frac{2\pi}{l}), q_0 \in [0, \frac{l}{A}))$ into N pieces $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N$ for T^2/Z_N , where $W : \sigma_i \rightarrow \sigma_{i+1}, \sigma_N \rightarrow \sigma_1$. We can arbitrarily choose $M(k, q_0)$ in σ_1 by equation (84) and get $M(k, q_0)$ in $\sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_N$ by equation (98). In such way we obtain all projective operators in T^2/Z_N by series expansion

$$\begin{aligned}
P & = \sum_{j_1 j_2} D_{j_1 j_2} e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{l}(j_1 \hat{y}_1 + \frac{j_2 - \tau_1 j_1}{\tau_2} \hat{y}_2)} \\
D_{j_1 j_2} & = \frac{1}{A} \text{tr} [\hat{b} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{l}(j_1(\hat{y}_1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \hat{y}_2) + j_2 \frac{\hat{y}_2}{\tau_2})}] \\
& = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{nn'} \int dk dq_0 dk' dq'_0 < k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{b} | k', q'_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' > \\
& \quad < k', q'_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' | e^{\frac{2\pi i}{l}(j_1(\hat{y}_1 - \frac{\tau_1}{\tau_2} \hat{y}_2) + j_2 \frac{\hat{y}_2}{\tau_2})} | k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n > \\
& = \frac{1}{A} \sum_{nn'} \int dk dq_0 dk' dq'_0 < k, q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n | \hat{b} | k', q'_0 + \frac{l}{A}n' > \\
& \quad \delta(k - k')\delta(q_0 - q'_0)E(k, q_0, j_1, j_2)_{n'n} \\
& = \sum_{nn'} \int dk dq_0 (2\pi)^{-1} M(k, q_0)_{nn'} E(k, q_0, j_1, j_2)_{n'n} \\
& = \int dk dq_0 (2\pi)^{-1} \text{tr} M(k, q_0) E(k, q_0, j_1, j_2)
\end{aligned} \tag{99}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
E(k, q_0, j_1, j_2)_{n'n} & = e^{2\pi i j_1 (q_0 + \frac{l}{A}n')/l} e^{\frac{\pi i j_1 j_2}{A}} \\
& \quad e^{i(j_2 + n' - n)\frac{lk}{A}} \sum_j \delta_{j_2 + n' - n, jA}.
\end{aligned} \tag{100}$$

References

- [1] A. Connes, Non-commutative Geometry, Academic Press, 1994.
- [2] G. Landi, "An introduction to non-commutative space and their geometry", hep-th/9701078; J. Varilly, "An introduction to non-commutative Geometry", physics/9709045.

- [3] J. Madore, "An introduction to non-commutative Differential Geometry and its physical Applications", Cambridge University press 2nd edition, 1999.
- [4] A. Connes, M. Douglas, A. Schwartz, Matrix theory compactification on Tori, JHEP 9802 (1998) 003, hep-th/9711162; M. Douglas, C. Hull, JHEP 9802 (1998) 008, hep-th/9711165.
- [5] Nathan. Seiberg and Edward. Witten," String theory and non-commutative geometry", JHEP 9909 (1999) 032, hep-th/9908142; V. Schomerus," D-branes and Deformation Quantization", JHEP 9906 (1999) 030.
- [6] E. Witten, "Noncommutative Geometry and String Field Theory", Nucl. Phys. B268 (1986) 253.
- [7] R. B. Laughlin, "The quantum Hall Effect", edited by R. Prange and S. Girvin, p233.
- [8] L. Susskind, hep-th/0101029; J. P. Hu and S. C. Zhang, cond-mat/0112432.
- [9] R. Gopakumar, M. Headrick, M. Spradin, "on Noncommutative Multi-solitons", hep-th/0103256.
- [10] E. J. Martinec and G. Moore, "Noncommutative Solitons on Orbifolds", hep-th/0101199.
- [11] D. J. Gross and N. A. Nekrasov, " Solitons in noncommutative Gauge Theory", hep-th/0010090; M. R. Douglas and N. A. Nekrasov, "Noncommutative Field Theory", hep-th/0106048.
- [12] R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla and A. Strominger, " Noncommutative Soliton", JHEP005 (2000) 048, hep-th/0003160.
- [13] J. Harvey, " Komaba Lectures on Noncommutative Solitons and D-branes, hep-th/0102076; J. A. Harvey, P. Kraus and F.Larsen, JHEP 0012 (200) 024, hep-th/0010060; M. Hamanaka and S. Terashima, "On exact noncommutative BPS solitons", JHEP **0103** (2001) 034, hep-th/0010221.
- [14] M. Rieffel, Pacific J. Math. 93 (1981) 415.
- [15] F. P. Boca, Comm. Math. Phys. 202 (1999) 325.
- [16] A. Konechny and A. Schwartz, "Compactification of M(atrix) theory on noncommutative toroidal orbifolds" Nucl. Phys. B591, 667(2000), hep-th/9912185; " Module spaces of maximally supersymmetric solutions on noncommutative tori and noncommutative orbifolds", JHEP 0009, (2000) 005, hep-th/0005167.
- [17] S. Walters, "Projective modules over noncommutative sphere", J. London Math. Soc. 51(1995) 589; "Chern characters of Fourier modules", Can. J. Math. 52 (2000) 633.
- [18] H. Bacry, A. Grossman and J. Zak, Phys. Rev. B12 (1975) 1118.
- [19] J. Zak, In solid State Physics, edited by H. Ehrenreich, F. Seitz and D. Turnbull (Academic,new York,1972), Vol. 27.